

LIDO Terminology: Background

LIDO WG Meeting, Milan 03/07/2016

Regine Stein

CIDOC 2014 Dresden

Report from German Working Group discussed:

- Flexibility of LIDO schema is challenging for aggregators
- Do we need changes to LIDO schema or LIDO profiles?

Assessment:

- LIDO schema is reasonably well defined both technically and semantically
 - Extending schema *not* necessary (for now)
- Explicit profiles are connected with specific use cases, scope of the WG is more general
 - No „official“ profiles (for now)

Identified as actual gap:

- Lack of common terminology
- Lack of best-practice examples

Approach:

- Create a – generally applicable – LIDO terminology with semantic definitions
- Publish the LIDO terminology with URIs
- Recommend using it in the LIDO documentation
- Spearhead adoption with publishing examples
- Extend the terminology through community feedback

Work on this had already begun in 2010/2011!

- First set of recommendations ready for approval
- Call for Review ended 20 June

Released for review:

- identifier@type

Applies for: actorID@type, conceptID@type, descriptive objectID@type , objectPublishedID@type, placeID@ty

- recordMetadataDate@type

- recordType

- repositorySet@type

- resourceRepresentation@type

- termMaterialsTech@type

In progress:

- actor@type

- eventType

- resourceSource@type

Not started:

- classification@type

- objectDescriptionSet@type

- relatedWorkRelType

- resourceDescription@type

- rightsType

- subject@type

- titleSet@type

- workID@type

LIDO Terminology to support:

- Data production / indexing
- Consistent mapping
- Portal functionalities – refined access
- LOD publication

Avoid „LIDO dialects“



Current state

<http://xtree-public.digicult-verbund.de/vocnet/?uriVocItem=http://terminology.lido-schema.org/&startNode=lido00409&lang=en&d=n>