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Report from German Working Group discussed:

- Flexibility of LIDO schema is challenging for aggregators
- Do we need changes to LIDO schema or LIDO profiles?
Assessment:

- LIDO schema is reasonably well defined both technically and semantically
  - Extending schema *not* necessary (for now)

- Explicit profiles are connected with specific use cases, scope of the WG is more general
  - *No* „official“ profiles (for now)
Identified as actual gap:

- Lack of common terminology
- Lack of best-practice examples
Approach:

- Create a – generally applicable – LIDO terminology with semantic definitions
- Publish the LIDO terminology with URIs
- Recommend using it in the LIDO documentation
- Spearhead adoption with publishing examples
- Extend the terminology through community feedback

Work on this had already begun in 2010/2011!
Current state

- First set of recommendations ready for approval
- Call for Review ended 20 June

Released for review:
- identifier@type
- recordMetadataDate@type
- recordType
- repositorySet@type
- resourceRepresentation@type
- termMaterialsTech@type

In progress:
- actor@type
- eventType
- resourceSource@type

Not started:
- classification@type
- objectDescriptionSet@type
- relatedWorkRelType
- resourceDescription@type
- rightsType
- subject@type
- titleSet@type
- workID@type
LIDO Terminology to support:

- Data production / indexing
- Consistent mapping
- Portal functionalities – refined access
- LOD publication

Avoid „LIDO dialects“